Measurements of the mass absorption cross section of atmospheric soot particles using Raman spectroscopy
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Soot particles are a major absorber of shortwave radiation in the atmosphere. The mass absorption cross section is an essential quantity to describe this light absorption process. This work presents new experimental data on the mass absorption cross section of soot particles in the troposphere over Central Europe. Mass absorption cross sections were derived as the ratio between the light absorption coefficient determined by multiangle absorption photometry (MAAP) and the soot mass concentration determined by Raman spectroscopy. The Raman method is sensitive to graphitic structures present in the particle samples and was calibrated in the laboratory using Printex®90 model particles. Mass absorption cross sections were determined for a number of seven observation sites, ranging between 3.9 and 7.4 m² g⁻¹ depending on measurement site and observational period. The highest values were found in a continentally aged air mass in winter, where soot particles were assumed to be mainly internally mixed. Our values are in the lower range of previously reported values, possibly due to instrumental differences to the former photometer and mass measurements. Overall, a value of 5.3 m² g⁻¹ from orthogonal regression over all samples is considered to be representative for the soot mass absorption cross section in the troposphere over Central Europe.


1. Introduction

Soot particles are a product of an incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. On a global scale, soot particles emerge predominantly from man-made processes although natural sources exist as well. The largest amounts of soot from fossil fuel burning are currently emitted in industrialized countries of the northern hemisphere as a result of more or less controlled energy production processes. Biomass burning of savanna, forests, and agricultural waste dominate the soot emissions in Africa and South America [Bond et al., 2004]. Soot particles are chemically rather inert with particle diameters around 100 nm being the most frequent, so that they may be transported across the globe even to the remotest regions like the Arctic [Heintzenberg, 1982].

Soot particles are good absorbers of solar radiation. They absorb shortwave radiation across a broad spectral region and can thus thermally heat their environment. As a consequence of light absorption, soot decreases the reflectivity of the surface-atmosphere-cloud system. Deposited on snow, it may also reduce surface reflectivity. On a global scale, the direct radiative forcing of soot is positive at the top of the atmosphere and negative at the surface, leading to an overall warming effect [Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008]. Moreover, if soot particles are incorporated into cloud processes, they may reduce cloud cover by heating their environment [Ackerman et al., 2000], which is also designated as the semidirect effect. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) [Forster et al., 2007] summarized the positive direct radiative forcing of soot to be in the range of Methane but with a large uncertainty. Besides climate, soot has also significant effects upon human health. A recent WHO report summarizes the existing evidence of adverse health effects of black carbon including cardiopulmonary morbidity and mortality [Janssen et al., 2012].

The quantification of soot-related effects has suffered from the lack of a uniform definition of soot [Baumgardner et al., 2012]. Several instrumental approaches are available, which make use of either the light-absorptive properties, structural characteristics, and even the volatility of soot particles [Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006; Moosmüller et al., 2009]. The term black carbon (BC) basically accounts for the absorptive components of soot particles. Light is absorbed, in particular, by small graphitic domains designated as graphitic carbon (GC). However, atmospheric samples may also include light-absorbing substances other than soot, which may interfere with a BC...
measurement. Elemental carbon (EC) is defined as the refractory fraction of soot particles that remains, as opposed to organic carbon (OC), stable at high temperatures. The relationships between BC, GC, and EC are usually not necessarily constant and may sensitively depend on the conditions during soot formation and the further course of aging processes in the atmosphere. Andreae and Gelencsér [2006] summarized different definitions for atmospheric soot particles. For aggregates of spherules made of graphene layers and without organic substances, they used the symbol Csoot.


In this work, we modified and recalibrated this method for glass microfiber filters used for BC measurements in the multiangle absorption photometer (MAAP). For calibration we used the industrial carbon black material Printex®90 which is, in the suspended state, expected to be very similar to Csoot. BC and Csoot can thus be made available as two independent parameters for the very same aerosol sample. From BC and Csoot, a mass absorption cross section (aCsoot) can be derived—a quantity that is often needed to calculate the radiative transfer and radiative forcing caused by soot particles. The literature values of the mass absorption cross section show a large span between 4 and 18 m² g⁻¹ for wavelengths between 550 and 637 nm [e.g., Carrico et al., 2003; Kondo et al., 2009; Hitzenberger et al., 2006]. It has not been clear whether this large span is a real effect of soot-related properties (e.g., mixing state) in the atmosphere or due to instrumental differences.

The new Raman method for the determination of Csoot mass concentrations is applied on a range of atmospheric particle samples collected in the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN). Determining aCsoot for different measurement sites, atmospheric conditions, and states of mixing and the analysis of these effects is the prime goal of this work.

2. Experimental

2.1. General Approach

This study couples experiments with artificial soot particles in the laboratory (section 3) with the analysis of atmospheric soot samples (section 4). The field measurements were made at seven sites of the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN) [Birmili et al., 2009]. GUAN provides continuous measurements of atmospheric aerosols in environments as diverse as street canyons, urban residential areas, regional background, and also mountain sites across Germany. As far as they are relevant to this study, information on the GUAN measurements are summarized in Table 1. Aerosol absorption is continuously measured by multangle absorption photometers (MAAP; section 2.3). Parts of the filter samples collected by the MAAP were reanalyzed using Raman spectroscopy (section 2.2). In addition to these measurements, particle number size distributions are collected continuously by twin differential mobility particle sizers (TDMPs) or scanning mobility particle sizers (SMPS). For a number of selected days, size-segregated chemical particle composition is available from Berner impactor samples.

2.2. Raman Spectrometry

To measure the Raman spectrum of atmospheric particles, a near-infrared Fourier transform (NIR-FT) spectrometer was coupled with a Raman-Module FRA-106 (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany). The monochromatic light source is a Nd:YAG Laser with a wavelength of 1064 nm. The sample is illuminated over a mirror arrangement so that incoming and Raman-scattered light do not interact. Directly reflected light and the Rayleigh-scattered light are removed by a filter module, because their intensities are much larger than the light from Raman scattering. An interferometer is used to measure the Raman-scattered light at different wavelengths. From there, the light is passed to a Ge-diode detector, which needs to be cooled with liquid nitrogen to achieve highest sensitivity. Fourier transformation is used to convert the Raman-scattered intensity from a function of the mirror shift to a function of wave number. This wave number is the so-called Raman shift. For the present study, the laser power was adjusted to 530 mW and the spectral resolution was set to 8 cm⁻¹. The filter samples were illuminated with a defocused beam in order to avoid a strong heating of the samples and to measure the average spectrum of a circular area with a diameter of approximately 1 mm. Wavelength calibration was always performed before the measurement using the characteristic band of a Nylon sample. All measurements were recorded as averages of 2900–3000 single spectra.

2.3. Multi Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP)

The MAAP is designed to continuously measure the aerosol absorption coefficient [Petzold and Schönlinner, 2004]. The GUAN network generally deploys MAAPs of the same type (model 5012, Thermo Scientific Inc.). Briefly, monochromatic light of a wavelength of 637 nm is transmitted and reflected from a particle-laden glass microfiber filter medium (GF10, Whatman, Maidstone, Kent). If particles are deposited on the glass microfiber filter, they only penetrate into the uppermost layer of the medium. Filter matrix and particles are subsequently treated as a two-layer system. To derive the absorption coefficient of the deposited particles, the instrument calculates the radiative transfer through this two-layer system [Petzold and Schönlinner, 2004]. The MAAP yields a BC mass concentration mBC, which is converted from the absorption coefficient σap under the assumption of a mass absorption cross section αBC = 6.6 m² g⁻¹.

We configured the MAAP so that the filter spot is loaded until the transmission decreases to 50%. In any case, a filter change was obligatory at midnight, in order to have daily samples for subsequent analysis. The flow rates of the individual instruments were adjusted to match the nominal inlet flow rates at each observation sites and were 7 L min⁻¹ at Leipzig-TROPOS, 15 L min⁻¹ at Leipzig-Eisenbahnstraße, 8 L min⁻¹ at Melpitz, 15 L min⁻¹ at Bösel, 16 L min⁻¹ at Hohenpeißenberg, 12 L min⁻¹ at Schauinsland,
and 11 L min$^{-1}$ at Zugspitz. The temporal resolution of the instruments was set to 1 min.

2.4. Thermographic Method

[12] The thermographic method is described in more detail in Nordmann et al. [2009] and will only be briefly summarized here. Particulate carbon on Berner impactor aluminum foils was characterized in compliance with guideline VDI [1999] using a carbon analyzer (Ströhlein, C-mat 5500). The organic carbon fraction (OC) was determined by heating an aliquot of the aluminum foils to 650 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere. The carbon that evaporates under these conditions is oxidized to CO$_2$ on a CuO catalyst at 850 °C, which was measured by the NDIR detector. Foil blank corrections are applied, since the particles were sampled on aluminum foils. This may lead to an underestimation of OC and an overestimation of EC.

2.5. Reference Material

[13] Freshly emitted soot particles are aggregates of spherules containing graphene layers, which are often rolled up to onion-like structures and might be mixed with other chemical compounds. The Raman spectrum of soot particles is usually composed of five overlapping bands [Sadezky et al., 2005], originating from different excitations in the soot structure. An exemplary Raman spectrum of an atmospheric particle sample is shown in Figure 1. The G band around 1600 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to vibrations in the graphitic lattice. In comparison with the G band of pure graphite (1580 cm$^{-1}$), the G band of Figure 1 appears shifted because of an overlapping band attributed to vibrations at the edge of graphite crystals. Such a shift of the G band was observed in previous Raman studies of atmospheric particle samples [Dippel and Heinzenberg, 1999; Ivleva et al., 2007]. A second maximum, dominated by the D band, is located around 1300 cm$^{-1}$. We often observed a shoulder on the lower energy side of the D band that can be attributed to stretching vibrations in polyene-like structures [Dippel et al., 1999]. Signals characteristic for graphite can be clearly seen in the Raman spectra; the carbonaceous species detected by Raman spectroscopy is usually designated as graphitic carbon (GC). Details on the extraction of the G band integral from the Raman spectra are described in the supporting information.

3. Calibration of the Raman Spectrometer

3.1. Raman Spectrum of Soot

[14] In order to calibrate the Raman spectrometer for $C_{\text{soot}}$ determination, we tested the usability of furnace soot Printex®90 (Degussa AG, Essen, Germany) as a calibration material. Printex 90 particles were first deposited on GF10 filter samplers connected to a mixing chamber (as will be described in section 3.3) and then characterized by Raman spectroscopy. Raman spectra of Printex 90, atmospheric particles, and the blank filter show that the location of the G band agrees very well for the test particles and the atmospheric sample (Figure 1). A minor difference is that the D band position of Printex 90 is slightly shifted to lower wave numbers. The number size distribution of Printex 90 was measured with a SMPS was monomodal, with a geometric mean diameter around 85 nm and a geometric standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig-Eisenbahnstrasse</td>
<td>traffic</td>
<td>Tropos-Leipzig</td>
<td>51.34° N, 12.37° E</td>
<td>90 m</td>
<td>TDMPS + TD, MAAP</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Tropos-Leipzig</td>
<td>51.34° N, 12.37° E</td>
<td>90 m</td>
<td>TDMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPOS</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>GAA$^h$</td>
<td>53.00° N, 7.97° E</td>
<td>13 m</td>
<td>SMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bösel</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Tropos-Leipzig</td>
<td>51.54° N, 12.93° E</td>
<td>86 m</td>
<td>TDMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melpitz</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>DWD$^f$</td>
<td>47.80° N, 11.00° E</td>
<td>988 m</td>
<td>SMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>UBA$^i$</td>
<td>47.91° N, 7.91° E</td>
<td>1210 m</td>
<td>SMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauinsland</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>UBA$^i$ and DWD$^f$</td>
<td>47.42° N, 10.98° E</td>
<td>2650 m</td>
<td>SMPS + TD, MAAP$^d$</td>
<td>Berner$^r$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$For more information on the GUAN network, see https://wiki.tropos.de.
$^b$TDMPS (Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer), number size distribution between 3 and 800 nm.
$^c$TD (Thermomdenuder), evaporates volatile compounds at 300°C.
$^d$MAAP (Multiangle Absorption Photometer), absorption coefficient at a wavelength of 637 nm.
$^e$Raman (Raman spectrometer), mass concentration of $C_{\text{soot}}$.
$^f$Berner (five-stage Berner impactor), particle sampling in five diameter classes between 0.05 and 10 μm for chemical analysis.
$^g$SMPS (Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer), number size distribution between 10 and 800 nm.
$^h$GAA (Trade supervisory office Hildesheim).
$^i$DWD (German weather service).
$^j$UBA (German Federal Environment Agency).
of 1.9. (Atmospheric soot tends to be monomodal as well, with a similar mean diameter; see, e.g., Rose et al. [2006].) The primary Printex 90 particle size is around 14 nm; thus, we assume that particles aggregated in the mixing chamber.

[15] Since Raman spectroscopy is sensitive to GC, we require the calibration material to have a similar GC fraction as atmospheric particles. Therefore, the fractions of GC in Printex 90 and atmospheric samples were compared. A thermographic method, as described above, with an additional 850 °C temperature stage was first applied on pure graphite samples (Graphite Powder Natural, Alfa Aesar, and Graphite puriss., Sigma Aldrich). It was found that the graphite was only pyrolyzed in the last heating step. Applying the same procedure on Printex 90 particles and on atmospheric PM2.5 samples deposited on quartz filters, the ratio between GC and the nonorganic total carbon (EC + GC) was derived. Table 2 shows that the GC fraction was very similar in Printex 90 and atmospheric soot particles. The contribution of carbonate carbon to nonorganic total carbon in atmospheric samples was considered negligible because concentrations at the sampling site Melpitz are generally low [ten Brink et al., 2004].

[16] Graphitic structures in atmospheric soot particles are responsible for the broadband light absorption [Bond and Bergstrom, 2006]. The body of these results suggests that the basic structural properties of Printex 90, referring both to graphitic grid structure, particle size, and GC mass fraction, are similar enough to those of atmospheric soot particles to serve as a calibration standard for the Raman method. Following Andreea and Gelencsér [2006], Printex 90 aerosol can be best described by \( C_{\text{soot}} \), because the OC fraction is negligible and graphitic structures are obvious. In addition, transmission electron microscope pictures show the aggregate structure of small spherules [Monteiro-Riviere and Inman, 2006].

3.3. Experimental Setup

[17] The objective of the calibration experiment was to establish a relationship between the integral of the Raman G band and the gravimetric mass of Printex 90. In the experiment, a hydrosol of distilled water and Printex 90 was nebulized. This aerosol passed through a silica gel drier and a bipolar diffusion charger before entering into the mixing chamber. The concentration was controlled by adjusting the pressure drop across the nebulizer and roughly checked with the MAAP. Polycarbonate filters with a pore size of 100 nm (Nuclepore™, Whatman, Maidstone, Kent), glass microfiber filters (GF10, Whatman, Maidstone, Kent), and quartz fiber filters ( Pallflex®, Pall, New York, USA) were loaded simultaneously, with constant flow rates assured by mass flow controllers. The glass microfiber filter corresponds to the medium used inside the MAAP and is used for Raman spectroscopy after sampling. A microbalance (UMT, Mettler Toledo) was used to weigh the polycarbonate and quartz fiber filters. The flow ratio between the different filter samplers was used to reconstruct the gravimetric mass loading of the microfiber filters, which were analyzed by Raman spectroscopy.

3.4. Calibration Results

[18] The results of the calibration experiment can be seen in Figure 2, suggesting a linear relationship between the mass loading of Printex 90 and the corresponding G band integral. The range covered by the experiment is typical for the mass loadings encountered in the MAAP, i.e., with minimum transmission set to 50%. An error-weighted orthogonal regression yields a slope of 0.00681 and an offset of 0.332. The measure of determination \( R^2 \) was 0.95. When applying these calibration results on atmospheric particle samples, a \( C_{\text{soot}} \) Printex 90 equivalent is determined. We established a calibration function to derive the \( C_{\text{soot}} \) mass concentration as follows:

\[
m_{C_{\text{soot}}} = m \cdot \frac{A}{\Delta t \cdot q},
\]

with \( m \) being the particulate mass on the filter using the results of the orthogonal regression, \( \Delta t \) the sampling duration, \( A \) the loaded filter area, and \( q \) the volumetric flow rate.

[19] The uncertainties of the integral over the G band were estimated by repeating the Raman measurements for selected filters. On average, an uncertainty of 7.5% was found. The error of \( m \) was estimated by Gaussian error propagation using the uncertainties of the spot diameter (5%), the flow ratio (7%) and the Printex 90 mass on the weighed filter samples (6%). On average, an error of 15% was found for \( m \) on the glass microfiber filter. The uncertainty of the fit function was derived as a byproduct of the orthogonal regression procedure to be 1.4% for the slope and 4% in offset.

5. Raman Spectra

Figure 1. Comparison of Raman spectra of Printex®90, atmospheric particles, and the blank filter substrate. Major bands of the soot-containing samples are designated as G and D band.
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Table 2. Average and Standard Deviation of the GC Fraction in the Nonorganic Total Carbon for Atmospheric, Graphite, and Printex®90 Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( \sigma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Printex 90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atmospheric</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample(^a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Collected at the rural background site Melpitz.
\(^b\) Aggregate of two samples of synthetic and natural graphite, respectively.
4. Field Observations

4.1. Selection of Measurement Periods

[20] Processing particle samples with Raman spectroscopy requires considerable time. Therefore, we focused on the analysis of field samples from three selected episodes within the years 2009 and 2010. In Central Europe, major differences arise between different air masses from the climatologically relevant contrast between maritime and continental air [Birmili et al., 2001]. To classify periods of pronounced maritime (“m”) or more continental (“c”) air masses, 72 h backward trajectories were calculated using the HYSPLIT model [Draxler and Hess, 1997].

[21] Three selected episodes covered the periods between 25 March and 10 April 2009 (Episode I), between 29 May and 20 June 2009 (Episode II), and between 24 and 31 January 2010 (Episode III). Episode I was characterized by a transition from a maritime to a continental air mass in early summer. Episode II represented a continuous period of maritime air masses over Central Europe. Episode III was characterized by a continental air mass in winter, with a high pressure area residing over Central Europe and the presence of a pronounced temperature inversion. In a later stage, this continental air mass was replaced by a very clean air mass of Arctic origin.

[22] For a detailed discussion, the Episodes I and III are split into different parts: IIm and Ic, and IIIc and IIIm to distinguish between the maritime and continental air mass types. Episode II is denoted IIm to emphasize the maritime character of that air mass. Figure 3 shows illustrative back trajectories for each of these periods.

4.2. Observations of $m_{C,soot}$ and $\sigma_{ap}$

[23] Figure 4 compiles the $C_{soot}$ mass concentrations $m_{C,soot}$, absorption coefficients $\sigma_{ap}$ and mass absorption cross sections $\alpha_{C,soot}$ for all periods where Raman measurements were performed.

[24] The maritime Episode IIm featured $m_{C,soot}$ between 0.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at the mountain location Zugspitze and 4 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at the kerbside observation site Leipzig-Eisenbahnstrasse. The absorption coefficients $\sigma_{ap}$ obtained from the MAAP showed a corresponding span between 0.2 and 18 $Mm^{-1}$. After the continental air mass arrived over Central Europe on 1 April 2009, $m_{C,soot}$ increased to values between 0.5 and 15 $\mu g m^{-3}$ with corresponding values of $\sigma_{ap}$ between 2 and 55 $Mm^{-1}$. Details of the time series show that both signals are closely correlated. In Episode IIm, $m_{C,soot}$ ranged between 0.1 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at Zugspitze and 2.9 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at the urban background site Leipzig-TROPOS, respectively. The values of $\sigma_{ap}$ ranged between 0.4 and 9.4 $Mm^{-1}$, accordingly. During Episode IIIc, $m_{C,soot}$ ranged between 0.2 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at Zugspitze and 20 $\mu g m^{-3}$ at Leipzig-TROPOS, with corresponding $\sigma_{ap}$ residing between 1.2 and 104 $Mm^{-1}$. After the replacement of the air mass by maritime air on 27 January 2010, a rapid drop can be seen in all experimental values. Episode IIIm exhibited $m_{C,soot}$ values between merely 0.07 and 2.4 $\mu g m^{-3}$, and $\sigma_{ap}$ between 0.4 and 10 $Mm^{-1}$. To sum up, the five selected episodes represent a wide variety of Central European air mass observations, which is reflected in the wide range of observed $m_{C,soot}$ and $\sigma_{ap}$. It can also be seen that the absolute concentrations varied strongly as a function of location, with high values near source (kerbside, urban background) and little values at elevated mountain sites.

4.3. Calculations of $\alpha_{C,soot}$

[25] The mass absorption cross section $\alpha_{C,soot}$ is visualized as gray lines in Figure 4. The corresponding correlations were analyzed for each sub-episode using orthogonal regression (see Figure 5). The regression coefficients for individual measurement sites are compiled in Table 3. The axis offsets of the fit curves were insignificantly different from zero, and the correlations tended to have good measures of determination, often better than 0.90.

[26] It is an essential result of this paper that the observed differences in $\alpha_{C,soot}$ between the measurement sites and air masses were relatively minor. Despite having been taken...
Figure 4. Overview of in situ measurements during Episodes I, II, and III: Time series of the C$_{\text{soot}}$ mass concentration $m_{\text{C_{soot}}}$, absorption coefficient $\sigma_{\text{ap}}$, and mass absorption cross section $\alpha_{\text{C_{soot}}}$ at seven observation sites of the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN). In episodes I and III, the change from maritime to continental air and vice versa is indicated by a vertical line.

under diverse circumstances, the variation in $\alpha_{\text{C_{soot}}}$ across the body of samples is only about 17% around an overall value of 5.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, determined by orthogonal regression. For Episode I, the range of values was 4.2–5.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, for Episode II, 4.2–5.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Table 3). Note that this span includes observations at all measurement sites. Only during Episode III, an enhanced span of $\alpha_{\text{C_{soot}}}$ was observed, ranging between 3.9 and 7.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$.

[27] The lowest value with 4.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ was observed during the clean maritime summer air mass in Episode IIIm. The maximum value of 6.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ was determined for the continental winter Episode IIIc (see Figure 5).

5. Discussion

5.1. Variability of the Mass Absorption Cross Section

[28] Although this work observed only minor variations in the mass absorption cross section $\alpha_{\text{C_{soot}}}$ around a mean value of 5.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, a remarkable feature was the enhanced value of 6.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ in a highly concentrated pollution aerosol in the winter Episode III. We will discuss here the possible reasons for the observed variabilities in $\alpha_{\text{C_{soot}}}$.

[29] The variations may first derive from contributions of non-soot particles to light absorption. Organic aerosol particles have been acknowledged to contribute to the light absorption coefficient [Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006], although the absorption spectrum steeply declines to the wavelength measured by the MAAP instrument [Sun et al., 2007]. Favez et al. [2009] suggested, for example, that the contribution of the organic fraction of a biomass burning aerosol to absorption amounts to no more than 8%.

The effect of biomass burning (e.g., wood) aerosol on the Raman spectrum is not well-known. In a previous study, we compared the Raman spectra of atmospheric and freshly emitted wood-burning particles deposited on Pallflex filters. Therefore, the soot signal in the Raman spectrum was fitted using four bands. We added the statistic for the two major
least in the near-field of sources, a negligible influence is expected only on PM$_1$ absorption even if significant amounts of dust were present. In addition, the absorption coefficients of mineral dust decrease strongly with increasing wavelength [Petzold et al., 2009]. For this reason, no effect of mineral dust on the mass absorption cross section is expected from the present study.

Our hypothesis is that the observed variability in $\alpha_{C_{\text{soot}}}$ is due to changes in the state of mixture. Theoretical studies based on Mie theory predict that the mass absorption cross section increases as particles get mixed with non-absorbing material [Fuller et al., 1999]. Several studies have analyzed laboratory-generated as well as ambient soot particles with regard to the effect of a coating on their mass absorption cross section [e.g., Khalizov et al., 2009; Naoe et al., 2009; Shiraiwa et al., 2010]. All these authors found that the mass absorption cross section increases if particles are coated with non-absorbing material. The changes reported in the mass absorption cross section from laboratory and field measurement are between 25 and 50% as the shell volume fraction is between 50 and 88% [Shiraiwa et al., 2010; Naoe et al., 2009].

The enhanced value of 6.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ in this work was measured in a highly concentrated pollution aerosol, which featured PM$_{10}$ mass concentrations over several days up to 250 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ (Episode IIIc). Although we have no experimental evidence, it is plausible to assume that in such a concentrated aerosol, coagulation among particles played a certain role, and was thus instrumental in changing the mixing state of soot. However, other processes like coating of soot by the condensation of, e.g., organics seem possible as well [Shiraiwa et al., 2007].

### 5.2. Comparison With Elemental Carbon (EC)

It is helpful to compare the mass concentrations of C$_{\text{soot}}$ with standardized measurements of elemental carbon (EC) from the thermographic method described in section 2. (EC was available for certain days at 24 h time resolution.) Figure 6 shows a reasonable quantitative agreement ($R^2 = 0.87$, slope = 1) for the regional background samples. Like for C$_{\text{soot}}$, mass absorption cross sections were determined for EC ($\alpha_{\text{EC}}$) by orthogonal regression between mass concentrations of EC from Berner impactor samples and $\alpha_{\text{EC}}$. It is important to note that the Berner impactor samples were collected across the entire years 2009 and 2010 and cover a large spectrum of synoptic situations. The results for the GUAN measurement sites are summarized in Table 3. Values of $\alpha_{\text{EC}}$ ranged between 4.3 and 6.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and therefore matched the range of values of $\alpha_{C_{\text{soot}}}$ very well.

Uncertainties of the thermographic method are due to the missing charring correction, which may lead to an overestimation of EC. Simultaneously, a GC fraction might be missing because Berner samples could only be heated up to 650°C. On the other hand, C$_{\text{soot}}$ from Raman includes both EC and GC. So the good agreement between EC and C$_{\text{soot}}$ might be due to a compensation of the missing GC fraction by charring contribution to EC during thermographic analysis.

### 5.3. Comparison With Literature Results

In Table 4, a compilation of different studies determining soot mass absorption cross sections is shown. In these studies, the variation in the mass absorption cross
Table 3. Results of Orthogonal Regressions With Slope (Characteristic Value of $\alpha_{C_{\text{soot}}}$ in m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and Coefficient of Determination ($R^2$) Between the Mass Concentration of $C_{\text{soot}}$ ($m_{C_{\text{soot}}}$) and the Absorption Coefficient (\(\alpha_{ap}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Episode I</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig-Eisenbahnstrasse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig-TROPOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bösel</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melpitz</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeißenberg</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schauinsland</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zugspitze</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data of the three episodes were divided into different air masses (m = maritime, c = continental) and Berner samples of 2009 and 2010 were analyzed.

section has often been attributed by authors to changes in the state of mixture of soot particles. However, the values in Table 4 show a large span between 3.6 and 18.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, which is probably also due to different measurement devices. Overall, the values measured in our study are at the lower bound of the cited mass absorption cross sections.

For a more confident comparison with our values, only studies using the MAAP were considered. In this case, an average mass absorption cross section of 6.7±2.2 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ is derived, which is in good agreement with the value suggested by Bond and Bergstrom [2006]. This span is narrower and also closer to $\alpha_{C_{\text{soot}}}$ from our study. Besides the MAAP, another widely used absorption measurement device is the particle soot absorption photometer (PSAP), which measures only the transmission of light (550 nm) through a particle laden filter medium. Averaging the mass absorption cross sections based on PSAP measurements, a value of 12.8±2.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ is derived. Converting the MAAP value to the PSAP wavelength of 550 nm using an Angström exponent of –1, a value of 7.8 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ can be derived. This 40% difference between PSAP and MAAP mass absorption cross sections cannot be explained by a wrong assumption of the Angström exponent. Four studies using the photoacoustic method for measuring the absorption coefficient are listed in Table 4. Adjusting these studies to the PSAP and MAAP wavelengths with an Angström exponent of –1, an average mass absorption cross section of 9.2±4.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 7.9±3.5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ is calculated, respectively. This is higher than values from the present study, but lower by around 30% in comparison to mass absorption cross sections from PSAP measurements. It is well-known that PSAP absorption coefficients have to be corrected for spot size, flow rate, filter loading, and the presence of scattering particles. In principle, this is done by the correction method presented in Bond et al. [1999]. Another method is published by Virkkula et al. [2005] and gives absorption coefficients that are approximately 6% higher for single scattering albedos between 0.8 and 0.9 [Müller et al., 2011]. In presence of strongly scattering material like mineral dust in the samples, an additional correction should be applied [Müller et al., 2009b]. If the mass absorption cross sections from PSAP measurements are used, one has to assure which correction was applied on the data and, if necessary, a more appropriate method should be used.

Besides potential uncertainties in absorption coefficient measurements, also EC concentrations from different thermal methods may vary by a factor of 3 [ten Brink et al., 2004], which may contribute to the large variation of mass absorption cross sections summarized in Table 4. However, in comparison to previous literature values, the mass absorption cross section in the present study shows a much smaller variability, although the samples were taken in quite diverse environments. It has to be noted, that the inter-instrumental variability was very small because of the usage of only one Raman spectrometer in combination with several MAAPs (variability <5%, Müller et al. [2011]).

Figure 6. Comparison between daily averaged mass concentrations of $C_{\text{soot}}$ ($m_{C_{\text{soot}}}$) from Raman spectroscopy and corresponding mass concentrations of elemental carbon ($m_{EC}$) from thermographic analysis of daily Berner impactor samples.
Table 4. Compilation of Previous Experimental Studies of the Soot Mass Absorption Cross Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Absorption Measurement</th>
<th>Carbon Measurement</th>
<th>Mass Absorption Cross Sections (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jungfraujoch, Switzerland</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>Cozic et al. [2008]</td>
<td>MAAP (637 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCa</td>
<td>7.4–11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manora Peak, India</td>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>Ram et al. [2010]</td>
<td>optical attenuation (687 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCa</td>
<td>11.6–14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maledives (aircraft)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mayol-Bracero et al. [2002]</td>
<td>PSAP (550 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCb</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manaus, Brazil</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Gilardoni et al. [2011]</td>
<td>MAAP (637 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCa</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou, China</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Kondo et al. [2009]</td>
<td>PSAP, COSMOS (565 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>9.9–12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yufa, China</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Kondo et al. [2009]</td>
<td>PSAP, COSMOS (565 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>11.6–15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico City, Mexico</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Doran et al. [2007]</td>
<td>Photoacoustic (870 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton, Colorado</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Moosmüller et al. [1998]</td>
<td>Photoacoustic (685 nm) (685 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCd</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gosan, South Korea</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Chuang et al. [2003]</td>
<td>PSAP (550 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>12.6–14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finokalia, Greece</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Sciare et al. [2003]</td>
<td>PSAP</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kleiner Feldberg, Germany</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Petzold and Schönlinner [2004]</td>
<td>MAAP (637 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsukuba, Japan</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>Naoe et al. [2009]</td>
<td>PSAP (565 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCd</td>
<td>10–13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin, Germany</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Petzold and Schönlinner [2004]</td>
<td>MAAP (637 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna, Austria</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Hitzenberger et al. [2006]</td>
<td>MAAP (637 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>3.9–8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, USA</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Carrico et al. [2003]</td>
<td>PSAP (550 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>5.3–18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto, Canada</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Knox et al. [2009]</td>
<td>Photoacoustic (760 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>6.9–9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Kondo et al. [2009]</td>
<td>PSAP, COSMOS (565 nm)</td>
<td>EC/OCc</td>
<td>6.7–15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>coated</td>
<td>Khalilov et al. [2009]</td>
<td>extinction-scattering (532 nm)</td>
<td>DMA/APM</td>
<td>8.7–12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>coated</td>
<td>Shiraiwa et al. [2010]</td>
<td>Photoacoustic (532 nm)</td>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>5.1 – 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[38] A new method for the determination of soot mass concentrations applying Raman-spectroscopy on particle samples collected on a filter medium commonly used in conjunction with multiangle absorption photometer (MAAP) measurements was developed. In a laboratory calibration, a linear relationship between gravimetric determined masses of test soot Printex®90 and the corresponding integral over the G band in the Raman-spectrum was found. The method was applied on particle samples from MAAP field measurements in the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN). This combination of MAAP and Raman spectrometer enabled a determination of quantitative values of the mass absorption cross section of atmospheric C_{soot}. Since the data were collected at multiple observation sites from mountain to near traffic locations, the mass absorption cross sections can be regarded as representative for the continental boundary layer air over Central Europe.

[39] Particle samples of seven observation sites taken in two summer and one winter time period were analyzed. The results showed that the variation of the mass absorption cross sections between individual measurement sites and for different air masses was only small, especially during the summer episodes. For the winter episode, the mass absorption cross section was slightly higher. Average values varied between 3.9 and 7.4 m² g⁻¹, depending on measurement site and observational period. Overall, a value of 5.3 m² g⁻¹ is deemed suitable for C_{soot} in the Central European troposphere.

[40] The connection of the new Raman method to a commonly used thermographic method for EC was established by the fact that the mass concentrations of EC and C_{soot} were in good agreement, at least for the regional observation site Bösel. Furthermore, the mass absorption cross section of EC was in good agreement with that for C_{soot}. The mass absorption cross section of C_{soot} was found to be lower in comparison to values from previous studies (mostly for EC).
The largest deviations were found for studies in which the particle soot absorption photometer (PSAP) was applied. [41] The mass absorption cross section of soot particles is a vital parameter for projections of climate forcing. The findings of the present study could imply that current estimates of aerosol radiative forcing (for Central Europe), such as determined from radiative transfer models, might be positively biased. Moreover, many instrumental methods (such as the Aethalometer, PSAP, or MAAP) rely on the use of a mass absorption cross section to convert light absorption into a soot mass concentration. The present results suggest that the currently used conversion factors might be too high for this purpose.
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